

Max Online Lesson

ハイレベル構文

<Unit 17>
仮定法過去



Max Classroom.net

STEP 1

<英文解釈>

文の中でターゲット構文をつかむ

問題01-1

〔The Nature Theory〕

If genes did not affect our behavior, we would expect that a pair of twins brought up by the same family under the same conditions would have similar characteristics, while twins brought up in two separate families under separate conditions would have different characteristics. But in fact studies show that even twins brought up in separate families share many surprising similarities.

問題01-1

If genes did not affect our behavior, we would expect that a pair of twins brought up by the same family under the same conditions would have similar characteristics, while twins brought up in two separate families under separate conditions would have different characteristics.

問題01-1

<If genes **did not** affect our behavior>, we **would** expect

If S 過去形

S would V

that a pair of twins brought up by the same family under

S

the same conditions **would** have similar characteristics,

would V

<while twins brought up in two separate families under

S

separate conditions **would** have different characteristics.>

would V

現実はどうであると言っている？

問題01-1

If genes did not affect our behavior, we would expect that a pair of twins brought up by the same family under the same conditions would have similar characteristics, while twins brought up in two separate families under separate conditions would have different characteristics.

【MAX模範解答】

もし遺伝子が私たちの行動に影響を与えないのであれば、同じ条件下で同じ家族に育てられた双子は似た特徴を持つ一方で、異なる家族に異なる条件下で育てられた双子は異なる特徴を持っていることになるだろう。

問題01-2

〔The Nurture Theory〕

If the environment did not contribute anything to a person's characteristics and behavior, then identical twins should be exactly the same in every way, even if they were brought up apart. But many studies show that in reality they are never exactly alike, even though they are very similar in most ways.

< 出典 > 2019年 法政大学 2月6日

問題01-2

If the environment did not contribute anything to a person's characteristics and behavior, then identical twins should be exactly the same in every way, even if they were brought up apart.

問題01-2

<If the environment did not contribute anything to a
person's characteristics and behavior>, then identical

If S 過去形

twins should be exactly the same in every way, <even if
they were brought up apart>.

should V

even if

S 過去形

現実はどうであると言っている？

問題01-2

If the environment did not contribute anything to a person's characteristics and behavior, then identical twins should be exactly the same in every way, even if they were brought up apart.

【MAX模範解答】

もし環境がその人の特徴や行動に何も関係しないのであれば、一卵性の双子はたとえ別々に育てられたとしても全ての点において全く同じような人間であるはずだ。

READING TIME

動画を止めて、まずは黙読を1回、次に音読を1回しましょう。

If genes did not affect our behavior, we would expect that a pair of twins brought up by the same family under the same conditions would have similar characteristics, while twins brought up in two separate families under separate conditions would have different characteristics.

If the environment did not contribute anything to a person's characteristics and behavior, then identical twins should be exactly the same in every way, even if they were brought up apart.

Point 1 : 直接法と仮定法

必ずしも「If = 仮定法」ではない

直接法

事実になる可能性がある

If it rains tomorrow, we will cancel the event.

現在形

will

仮定法

事実と異なる

If it were raining now, we would have to cancel it.

過去形

would

Point 2： 仮定法の時制

非現実の世界は時間が歪んでいる



**仮定法
スピーカー**

事実と異なることを話そうとすると
時制が1つ昔にズレてしまう

Point 2 : 仮定法の時制

非現実の世界は時間が歪んでいる

直接法

時制が正常



I am a boy.

現在のことは現在形



I came late.

過去のことは過去形

仮定法

時制がずれている



If I were a girl

現在のことは過去形




If I had come early

過去のことは過去完了


Point 2 : 仮定法の時制

過去形で距離を表す

I thought she loved me.



If she loved me, I would



Point 3 : 仮定法過去

仮定法過去

現在の事実と異なる

If S Ved, S would V

仮定法

If he were here, I would be excited.



対比

現実の世界

As he isn't here, I am bored.



問題02

If a tree falls in the forest and no one is present to hear it, does it make a sound? < 中略 >

The common-sense answer to this riddle is yes, of course a falling tree makes a sound. If you and I were walking in the forest at the time, we would clearly hear the cracking of the wood, the rustling of the leaves, and the heavy sound as the trunk slammed into the forest floor. It seems obvious that this sound would be present even if you and I were not.

問題02

If you and I were walking in the forest at the time, we would clearly hear the cracking of the wood, the rustling of the leaves, and the heavy sound as the trunk slammed into the forest floor. It seems obvious that this sound would be present even if you and I were not.

問題02

<If you and I were walking in the forest at the time>, we

If S 過去形

would clearly hear the cracking of the wood, the rustling

would V

of the leaves, and the heavy sound <as the trunk

slammed into the forest floor>. It seems obvious that

this sound would be present even if you and I were not.

would V

even if S 過去形

問題02

If you and I were walking in the forest at the time, we would clearly hear the cracking of the wood, the rustling of the leaves, and the heavy sound as the trunk slammed into the forest floor. It seems obvious that this sound would be present even if you and I were not.

【MAX模範解答】

もしあなたと私がその時に森の中を歩いていたとするなら、明らかに木が割れ、草がさらさらと音をたて、そして木の幹が森の地面に打ち付けられるのがはっきりと聞こえるであろう。あなたと私がそこに居合わせていなくても、この音が存在するというのは明らかのように思える。

問題02

The scientific answer to the riddle, however, is no. A falling tree itself makes no sound, but merely creates vibrations in the air and the ground. These vibrations become sound only if something special is present to receive and translate them: say, an ear connected to a brain.

< 出典 > 2019年 東北大学 後期

READING TIME

動画を止めて、まずは黙読を1回、次に音読を1回しましょう。

If you and I were walking in the forest at the time, we would clearly hear the cracking of the wood, the rustling of the leaves, and the heavy sound as the trunk slammed into the forest floor. It seems obvious that this sound would be present even if you and I were not.

問題03

E.B. White said, “Analyzing humor is like dissecting a frog. Few people are interested and the frog dies of it.” We cannot help but disagree with White on his first point. If few people were interested, this book would not be being read and the dozens of studies I introduced here would not have been conducted. However, we cannot argue with White on his second point. It is difficult, perhaps impossible, to analyze humor without destroying the humor.

< 出典 > 2014年 横浜国立大学 前期 一部編集

問題03

We cannot help but disagree with White on his first point. If few people were interested, this book would not be being read and the dozens of studies I introduced here would not have been conducted. However, we cannot argue with White on his second point. It is difficult, perhaps impossible, to analyze humor without destroying the humor.

問題03

We cannot help but disagree with White on his first point.

<If few people were interested>, this book would not be being

If S 過去形

would not V

read and the dozens of studies I introduced here would not

would not

have been conducted. However, we cannot argue with White

have Ved

on his second point. It is difficult, perhaps impossible, to

analyze humor without destroying the humor.

問題03

We cannot help but disagree with White on his first point. If few people were interested, this book would not be being read and the dozens of studies I introduced here would not have been conducted.

【MAX模範解答】

ユーモアを分析することにほとんどの人が興味を持たないという最初の論点についてはホワイト氏に反対せざるを得ない。もし興味ある人がほとんどいないのであれば、この本は読まれていないわけだし、私がここ（本書）で紹介した数十もの研究はなされていなかったであろう。

問題03

However, we cannot argue with White on his second point. It is difficult, perhaps impossible, to analyze humor without destroying the humor.

【MAX模範解答】

しかしながら、彼の第二の論点については議論の余地がない。ユーモアを壊すことなく分析することは難しく、おそらく不可能であろう。

READING TIME

動画を止めて、まずは黙読を1回、次に音読を1回しましょう。

E.B. White said, “Analyzing humor is like dissecting a frog. Few people are interested and the frog dies of it.” We cannot help but disagree with White on his first point. If few people were interested, this book would not be being read and the dozens of studies I introduced here would not have been conducted. However, we cannot argue with White on his second point. It is difficult, perhaps impossible, to analyze humor without destroying the humor.

解答をダウンロードして自分でチェックしましょう

STEP 2 & STEP 3

和文英訳 アウトプットチェック

<http://www.maxclassroom.net/onlinestudy.html>

The End

See you in the next lesson.

Max Classroom.net